

# PAIN MANAGEMENT

A Practical Guide for Clinicians

**SIXTH EDITION**

Editor **Richard S. Weiner**



AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PAIN MANAGEMENT



**CRC PRESS**

---

Boca Raton London New York Washington, D.C.

The publishers, the authors, and the American Academy of Pain Management cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials contained in this book or for the consequences of their use. Some of the content represents an emerging area of study. As new information becomes available, changes in treatment and in the use of drugs may be necessary. The reader is advised to consult his or her healthcare practitioner before changing, adding, or eliminating any treatment. The reader is also advised to carefully consult the instruction and information material included in the package insert of each drug or therapeutic agent before administration. The publisher, authors, and the American Academy of Pain Management disclaim any liability, loss, injury, or damage incurred as a consequence, directly or indirectly, of the use and application of any of the contents of this volume.

### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

---

Pain management : a practical guide for clinicians / executive editor, Richard S. Weiner.-6th ed.

p. ; cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-8493-0926-3 (alk. paper)

1. Pain-Treatment. 2. Analgesia. I. Weiner, Richard S., Ph.D.

[DNLM: 1. Pain-therapy. 2. Chronic Disease-therapy. 3. Disability Evaluation. 4.

Pain-diagnosis. 5. Patient Care Management. WL 704 PI4656 2001]

RB127 .P33233 2001

616'.0472—dc21

2001037442

This book contains information obtained from authentic and highly regarded sources. Reprinted material is quoted with permission, and sources are indicated. A wide variety of references are listed. Reasonable efforts have been made to publish reliable data and information, but the author and the publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or for the consequences of their use.

Neither this book nor any part may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the publisher.

All rights reserved. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the personal or internal use of specific clients, may be granted by CRC Press LLC, provided that \$.50 per page photocopied is paid directly to Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 USA. The fee code for users of the Transactional Reporting Service is ISBN 0-8493-0926-3/02/\$0.00+.50. The fee is subject to change without notice. For organizations that have been granted a photocopy license by the CCC, a separate system of payment has been arranged.

The consent of CRC Press LLC does not extend to copying for general distribution, for promotion, for creating new works, or for resale. Specific permission must be obtained in writing from CRC Press LLC for such copying.

Direct all inquiries to CRC Press LLC, 2000 N.W. Corporate Blvd., Boca Raton, Florida 33431.

**Trademark Notice:** Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation, without intent to infringe.

**Visit the CRC Press Web site at [www.crcpress.com](http://www.crcpress.com)**

---

© 2002 by CRC Press LLC

No claim to original U.S. Government works

International Standard Book Number 0-8493-0926-3

Library of Congress Card Number 2001037442

Printed in the United States of America 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0

Printed on acid-free paper

---

# The Role of Cannabis and Cannabinoids in Pain Management

---

*Ethan B. Russo, M.D.*

## INTRODUCTION

The herb cannabis is derived from the Old World species *Cannabis sativa* L. *Cannabis indica* and *C. ruderalis* may also merit species status. Cannabis has a history as an analgesic agent that spans at least 4000 years, including a century of usage in mainstream Western medicine. Quality control issues, and ultimately political fiat eliminated this agent from the modern pharmacopoeia, but it is now resurgent. The reasons lie in the remarkable pharmacological properties of the herb and new scientific research that reveals the inextricable link that cannabinoids possess with our own internal biochemistry. In essence, the cannabinoids form a system in parallel with that of the endogenous opioids in modulating pain. More important, cannabis and its endogenous and synthetic counterparts may be uniquely effective in pain syndromes in which opiates and other analgesics fail.

Despite hundreds of supportive journal articles over the past decade, the news about cannabis and cannabinoids has only slowly filtered into public and even professional acknowledgment. The attendant politics remain contentious, with certain states and countries acknowledging a role for cannabis in medicine, while other governmental bodies languish in inactivity or outright opposition.

No major medical text on pain has previously covered this topic to the author's knowledge. This chapter may then represent one point of departure in what I believe will be a major renaissance of interest in this plant, its

healing attributes, and what it might tell us about our own internal mechanisms of analgesia. A unique set of clinical tools may be added to an armamentarium in pain management that never seems wholly adequate to the task at hand.

This chapter examines the use of cannabis and cannabinoids historically, scientifically, and anecdotally in relation to a variety of pain syndromes. The author has previously addressed this topic with respect to migraine — in short (Russo, in press) and at great length (Russo, 2001).

## CANNABIS AND PAIN TREATMENT: A HISTORICAL SURVEY

### CHINA

Traditional knowledge of cannabis in China may span 5000 years, dating to the legendary emperor and “Divine Plowman,” Shên-Nung. Julien (1849) wrote of the physician Hoa-tho in the early 2nd century and his use of a cannabis extract in surgical anesthesia:

He gave to the sick person a preparation of hemp (Mayo), and, in a few moments, he became so insensible that it were as if he was plunged into rapture of loss of life. Then, following this instance, he practiced some overtures, incisions, amputations, and removed the cause of the malady; then he repaired the tissues with suture points, and applied liniments. (p. 197, translation EBR)

## INDIA

The *Atharva Veda* of India dates to between 1400 and 2000 BCE and mentions a sacred grass, *bhang*, which remains a modern term of usage for cannabis. Medical references to cannabis date to Susruta in the 6th to 7th centuries BCE (Chopra & Chopra, 1957). Dwarakanath (1965), described a series of Ayurvedic and Arabic tradition preparations containing the herb indicated for migraine, neuralgic, and visceral pains.

## EGYPT

Previous scholars had thought cannabis to be absent from Ancient Egypt, but Nunn (1996) cited six supporting experts, indicating that it was utilized medicinally. These authors agree with the view of Dawson that the hieroglyphic *shemshemet* means cannabis. Physical proof includes discoveries of hemp remnants in the tomb of Akhenaten (Amenophis IV) around 1350 BCE, and cannabis pollen in the tomb of Rameses II, who died in 1224 BCE (Mannische, 1989). Cannabis has remained in the Egyptian pharmacopoeia since pharaonic times, administered orally, rectally, vaginally, on the skin, in the eyes, and by fumigation.

Mannische (1989) cites the following from Papyrus Ramesseum III, 1700 BCE: "A treatment for the eyes: celery; hemp; is ground and left in the dew overnight. Both eyes of the patient are to be washed with it early in the morning" (p. 82). This suggests a parallel to modern use of cannabis in glaucoma treatment.

Another passage (Ebers Papyrus 821) is reminiscent of the 19th-century use of cannabis as an aid to childbirth (Ghalioungui, 1987): "Another: *smsm-t* [shemshemet]; ground in honey; introduced into her vagina (*iwf*). This is a contraction" (p. 209). Passage E618 refers to treatment of a toenail with a bandage containing hemp resin.

## SUMER/AKKAD/ASSYRIA

Thompson (1924; 1949) documented 29 citations of the use of cannabis in Assyrian medical documents, and attested to its analgesic and psychogenic effects by various methods, including fumigation. The bulk of the references date to the second millennium BCE and pertain to *A.ZAL.LA* in Sumerian and *azallû* in Akkadian. Through philological arguments, Thompson (1924) concluded that:

The evidence thus indicates a plant prescribed in AM [Assyrian manuscripts] in very small doses, used in spinning and rope-making, and at the same time a drug used to dispel depression of spirits. Obviously, it none other than hemp, *Cannabis sativa*, L. (p. 101)

Specifically, according to Thompson (1949), hemp, or *azallû*, was employed to bind the temples (possibly for

headache?). Furthermore, the Sumerian texts recommended internal use for depression and staying the menses, and "for 'poison' of all limbs, dry, pound, sift, and fumigate.. ." (p. 222).

## ANCIENT ISRAEL/PALESTINE/JUDEA

Physical evidence of medicinal cannabis use in Israel/Palestine was recently discovered (Zias, et al., 1993) in a burial tomb in Beit Shemesh where the skeleton of a 14-year-old girl was found along with 4th century bronze coins. Contained in her pelvic area was the skeleton of a term fetus, of sufficient size to disallow a successful vaginal delivery. In her abdominal area, gray carbonized material was noted and analyzed, yielding chromatographic and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy evidence of delta-6-tetrahydrocannabinol, a stable metabolite of cannabis. The authors stated: "We assume that the ashes found in the tomb were cannabis, burned in a vessel and administered to the young girl as an inhalant to facilitate the birth process" (p. 363). They further remarked that cannabis retained an indication as an aid to parturition into the 19th century.

## GREEK AND ROMAN EMPIRES

In the 1st century of the Common Era, Dioscorides published his *Materia Medica* and described the analgesic role of cannabis (Dioscorides, 1968): "Cannabis is a plant of much use in this life for ye twistings of very strong ropes, ... but being juiced when it is green is good for the pains of the ears" (p. 390).

Pliny (195 1) described additional indications for hemp: "The root boiled in water eases cramped joints, gout too and similar violent pains. It is applied raw to burns, ..." (Book XX, XC VII, p. 153).

## THE ISLAMIC WORLD

In the 9th century, Sabur ibn Sahl in Persia cited the use of cannabis several times in his dispensatorium, *Al-Aqrabadhin Al-Saghir* (Kahl, 1994). According to the translation and interpretation of the text by Dr. Indalecio Lozano (personal communication, 2000), ibn Sahl prescribed a compound medicine containing cannabis juice that was used to treat a variety of aching pains and migraine that was instilled into the nostril of the afflicted patient.

Also in the 12th century, Al-Biruni (Biruni & Said, 1973) noted: "Galen says: 'The leaves of this plant [cannabis] cure flatus— Some people squeeze the fresh (seeds) for use in ear-aches. I believe that it is used in chronic pains'" (p. 346).

Umar ibn Yusuf ibn Rasul also suggested cannabis for ear and head pains at the end of the 13th century (Lewis, Menage, Pellat & Schacht, 1971).

Some time later, an electuary named *bars*, or *barsh*, containing a variety of ingredients, sometimes including cannabis, became popular as an analgesic treatment in the Arab world. (Lozano Camara, 1990).

At the close of the 17th century in Indonesia, Rumphius studied cannabis use, including treatment of pleuritic chest pains and hernias (Rumpf & Beekman, 1981).

#### WESTERN MEDICINE

Medicinal uses persisted in England. In 1640, in the *Theatrum Botanicum: The Theater of Plants* (Parkinson & Cotes, 1640) John Parkinson indicated:

Hempe is cold and dry . the *Dutch* as one saith doe make an Emulsion out of the seede, . for it openeth the obstructions of the gall, and causeth digestion of choller therein: the Emulsion or decoction of the seede, stayeth laskes and fluxes that are continuall, easeth the paines of the collicke: and allayeth the troublesome humours in the bowels: . . . The decoction, of the roote is sayd to allay inflammations in the head or any other part, the *herbe* it selfe, or the distilled water thereof performeth the like effect; the same decoction of the rootes, easeth the paines of the goutte, the hard tumours, or knots of the joynts, the paines and shrinking of the sinewes, and other the like paines of the hippes: it is good to be used, for any place that hath beene burnt by fire, if the fresh juyce be mixed with a little oyle or butter. (p. 598)

In 1758, Marcandier published his *Trait.4 du chanvre [Treatise on Hemp]*, which was translated into English several years later (1764):

The grain and the leaves being squeezed, while they are green, and applied, by way of cataplasm, to painful tumours, are reckoned to have a great power of relaxing and stupefying. The root of it boiled in water, and applied in the form of a cataplasm, softens and restores the joints of fingers or toes that are dried and shrunk. It is very good against the gout, and other humours that fall upon the nervous, muscular, and tendinous parts. It abates inflammations, dissolves tumours, and hard swellings upon the joints. Beat and pounded in a mortar, with butter, when it is still fresh, it is applied to burns, which it relieves greatly when it is often renewed. (pp. 24, 26)

Linnaeus acknowledged the pain-reducing properties of cannabis in his list of its medical applications in his *Materia Medica* (Linné, 1772): “narcotica, phantastica, dementans, anodyna, repellens” (p. 213).

In France, Chomel (1782) noted once more the benefits of hemp seed oil on burn treatment, promoting both pain and healing.

The medical use of cannabis, or what became known as “Indian hemp,” was reintroduced to the West by O’Shaughnessy in 1839. His treatise on the subject dealt with the apparent utility of a plant extract administered to patients suffering from rabies, cholera, tetanus, infantile convulsions, but also a series of painful rheumatological conditions.

Shortly after Indian hemp came to England, Clendinning (1843) described his results of treatment of 18 patients: three with headaches, one with abdominal pain secondary to tumor, one with pain secondary to a laceration, two with rheumatic joint pain, and one with gout. In each case, the tincture of Indian hemp provided relief, even in cases of morphine withdrawal symptoms. He observed:

I have no hesitation in affirming that in my hand its exhibition has usually, and with remarkably few substantial exceptions, been followed by manifest effects as a soporific or hypnotic in conciliating sleep; as an anodyne in lulling irritation; as an antispasmodic in checking cough and cramp; and as a nervine stimulant in removing languor and anxiety, and raising the pulse and spirits; and that these effect have been observed in both acute and chronic affections, in young and old, male and female. (p. 209)

In Ireland in 1845, Donovan (1845) extensively described his own extensive trials with small doses of cannabis resin, mainly in patients with various types of neuropathic and musculoskeletal pain. Effects were fairly uniformly impressive, with few side effects. He also described the benefits of local application of hemp leaf oil on hemorrhoids and neuralgic pains.

Christison (1851) endorsed benefits of cannabis in treating tetanus, augmenting labor, and treatment of neuralgic and musculoskeletal pain.

Grigor (1852) examined to role of cannabis in facilitating childbirth. In nine cases, little was noticeable; but in seven, including rive primiparous women, “the contractions acquire great increase of strength .. it is capable of bringing the labour to a happy conclusion considerably within a half of the time that would other have been required” (p. 125). No untoward effects were observed on mother or child.

Over the next decades, numerous authorities recognized cannabis as helpful for painful conditions. Sir John Russell Reynolds was eventually to become Queen Victoria’s personal physician. He successfully treated her dysmenorrhea with a cannabis extract throughout her adult life. Reynolds (1868) reported on various successes with Indian hemp, theorizing that:

This medicine appears capable of reducing over-activity of the nervous centres without interfering with any one of the functions of organic, or vegetal life. The bane of

many opiates and sedatives is this, that the relief of the moment, the hour, or the day, is purchased at the expense of tomorrow's misery. In no one case to which I have administered Indian hemp, have I witnessed any such results. (p. 160)

Silver (1870) reported five cases in detail of menorrhagia and dysmenorrhea, all relieved nicely with cannabis. He also referred to a colleague, who had never failed in over 100 cases to control pain and discomfort in these disorders within three doses.

In 1874, a popular textbook, *Practical Therapeutics* (Waring, 1874) stated of cannabis: "Of a good extract, gr. 1/4 to gr. 1/2, rarely gr. j, in the form of pill, is very effective in some forms of neuralgia" (p. 159).

In the French literature, Michel (1880) extensively reviewed and endorsed the success of cannabis in treating neuralgic afflictions.

In 1883, two letters to the *British Medical Journal* attested to the benefits of extract of *Cannabis indica* in menorrhagia, treating both pain and bleeding successfully with a few doses. (Batho, 1883; Brown, 1883)

Rennie (1886) reported from India on the therapeutic value of a cannabis tincture in curing acute and chronic dysentery and its attendant pain in some dozen patients.

Dr. Hobart Hare published an article that dealt with the indications of cannabis at length:

CANNABIS INDICA has been before the profession for many years as a remedy to be used in combating almost all forms of pain, yet, owing to the variations found to exist as to its activity, it has not received the confidence which I think it now deserves. I have found the efficient dose of a pure extract of hemp to be as powerful in relieving pain as the corresponding dose of the same preparation of opium. ... During the time that this remarkable drug is relieving pain a very curious psychical condition sometimes manifests itself; namely, that the diminution of the pain seems to be due to its fading away in the distance, so that the pain becomes less and less, just as the pain in a delicate ear would grow less and less as a beaten drum was carried farther and farther out of the range of hearing. (pp. 225-226)

Soon thereafter, Farlow (1889) penned a treatise on the use of rectal preparations of cannabis: "Cannabis has few equals in its power over nervous headaches such as women with pelvic troubles are subject to" (p. 508).

Aulde (1890) lauded the drug as follows: "As a remedy for the relief of *supraorbital neuralgia* no article perhaps afford better prospects than cannabis .." (p. 118).

In the French literature, Sée (1890) submitted a detailed report on the use of cannabis in the treatment of various disorders producing gastric and intestinal pain. He

found it preferable in efficacy and side effects to other agents of the day, including opiates and bismuth that remain on the modern scene.

In the article "On the Therapeutic Value of Indian Hemp," Suckling (1891) declared: "I have met with patients who have been incapacitated for work from the frequency of the attacks [of migraine], and who have been enabled by the use of Indian hemp to resume their employment" (p. 12). This echoes modern claims of clinical cannabis users who partake lightly of the drug and return to work or study.

Mattison was effusive in his praise in 1891:

Indian hemp is not here lauded as a specific. It will, at times, fail. So do other drugs. But the many cases in which it acts well, entitle it to a large and lasting confidence.

My experience warrants this statement: *cannabis indica* is, often, a safe and successful anodyne and hypnotic. (pp. 270-271)

Mackenzie (1894) described the utility of cannabis in treating neuralgias, headache, including chronic daily headache, tabetic (syphilitic) pain, functional gastrointestinal pain (corresponding to modern idiopathic bowel syndrome, or "spastic colon"), and pruritic disorders.

That year in India, among many other indications, the encyclopedic Indian Hemp Drugs Commission (1894) reported that a small piece of *charas* (hashish) placed in a carious tooth would relieve aching pain.

An American 1898 drug handbook stated the following quaint prose under "Actions and Uses" for cannabis (Lilly, 1898): "Not poisonous according to best authorities, though formerly so regarded. Antispasmodic, analgesic, anesthetic, narcotic, aphrodisiac. Specially recommended in spasmodic and painful affections ..." (p. 32).

Dixon (1899), a famed British pharmacologist, studied cannabis extensively and recognized its value "as a useful food accessory," supporting its current indications in the cachexia of cancer chemotherapy and HIV-positive patients. He also reintroduced the concept of smoking the drug to Western medicine:

In cases where an immediate effect is desired the drug should be smoked, the fumes being drawn through water. In fits of depression, mental fatigue, nervous headache, and exhaustion a few inhalations produce an almost immediate effect, the sense of depression, headache, feeling of fatigue disappear and the subject is enabled to continue his work, feeling refreshed and soothed. I am further convinced that its results are marvellous in giving staying power and altering the feelings of muscular fatigue which follow hard physical labour. (p. 1356)

The same year, Shoemaker (1899) reported on a large series of patients with pain conditions, including migraine, dental neuralgia, gastralgia, enteralgia, cerebral tumor, and herpes zoster, all successfully treated with *Cannabis indica*.

As late as 1915, Sir William Osler (Osler & McCrae, 1915) the acknowledged father of modern medicine stated of migraine treatment: "*Cannabis indica* is probably the most satisfactory remedy. Seguin recommends a prolonged course of the drug" (p. 1089). This statement provided support of its use for both acute and prophylactic treatment of migraine.

In 1918, *The Dispensary of the United States of America* (Remington, et al.) stated, "Cannabis is used in medicine to relieve pain, to encourage sleep, and to soothe restlessness. ... For its analgesic action it is used especially in pains of neuralgic origin, such as *migraine*, but is occasionally of service in other types" (p. 280).

In 1922, Hare still advocated the use of cannabis noting that: "For the relief of *pain*, particularly that depending on nerve disturbance, hemp is very valuable" (p. 181).

Hoechstetter, as late as 1930, noted the ability of cannabis to achieve a labor with pain burden substantially reduced or eliminated, followed by a tranquil sleep. He stated: "As far as is known, a baby born of a mother intoxicated with cannabis will not be abnormal in any way" (p. 1165).

In 1942, despite its political disenfranchisement, Morris Fishbein, the editor of the *Journal of the American Medical Association* still advocated oral preparations of cannabis in the treatment of menstrual (catamenial) migraine (Fishbein, 1942).

Cannabis remained in the British armamentarium somewhat longer, and was extolled above opiates and barbiturates in the treatment of the pain of hospitalized patients with duodenal ulcers (Douthwaite, 1947).

## MODERN ETHNOBOTANY OF CANNABIS IN ANALGESIA

In Tashkent in the 1930s, cannabis, or *nasha*, was employed medicinally, despite Soviet prohibition (Benet, 1975): "A mixture of lamb's fat with *nasha* is recommended for brides to use on their wedding night to reduce the pain of defloration. The same mixture works well for headache when rubbed into the skin; it may also be eaten spread on bread" (pp. 46-47).

In Southeast Asia, cannabis remains useful (Martin, 1975):

Everywhere it is considered to be of analgesic value, comparable to the opium derivatives. Moreover, it can be added to any relaxant to reinforce its action. Cooked leaves, which have been dried in the sun, are used in quantities of several grams per bowl of water. This

decoction helps especially to combat migraines and stiffness.. (p. 70).

A more recent study documents the ethnobotanical uses of cannabis by the Hmong minority in the China-Vietnam border region (Gu & Clarke, 1998): "Some older Hmong men may rarely smoke cannabis to 'relieve discomfort,' but they-are not daily smokers" (p. 6).

In a book (Dastur, 1962) about medicinal plants of India, we see the following:

Charas is the resinous exudation that collects on the leaves and flowering tops of plants [equivalent to the Arabic hashish]; it is the active principle of hemp; it is a valuable narcotic, especially in cases where opium cannot be administered; it is of great value in malarial and periodical headaches, migraine, acute mania, whooping cough, cough of phthisis, asthma, anaemia of brain, nervous vomiting, tetanus, convulsion, insanity, delirium, dysuria, and nervous exhaustion; it is also used as an anaesthetic in dysmenorrhea, as an appetizer and aphrodisiac, as an anodyne in itching of eczema, neuralgia, severe pains of various kinds of corns, etc. (p. 67)

In Colombia, the analgesic effects of a cannabis tincture was lauded (Partridge, 1975): "The knowledge that cannabis can be used for treatment of pain is widespread..." (p. 161).

Rubin (1976; Rubin & Comitas, 1975) documented extensive usage of cannabis in Jamaica for a variety of conditions, including headache. In Brazil, Hutchinson (1975) noted:

Such an infusion [of marijuana leaves] is taken to relieve rheumatism, "female troubles," colic and other common complaints. For toothache, marijuana is frequently packed into and around the aching tooth and left for a period of time, during which it supposedly performs an analgesic function. (p. 180)

## MODERN DATA ON CANNABIS AND ANALGESIA

### RECENT THEORY AND CLINICAL DATA

A popular treatise (Margolis & Clorfene, 1969) on marijuana noted medicinal effects:

You'll also discover that grass is an analgesic, and will reduce pain considerably. As a matter of fact, many women use it for dysmenorrhea or menorrhagia when they're out of Pamprin or Midol. So if you have an upset stomach, or suffer from pain of neuritis or neuralgia, smoke grass. If pains persist, smoke more grass. (p. 26)

Solomon Snyder (1971), the discoverer of opiate receptors, examined cannabis' pros and cons as an analgesic, commenting:

For there are many conditions, such as migraine headaches or menstrual cramps, where something as mild as aspirin gives insufficient relief and opiates are too powerful, not to mention their potential for addiction. Cannabis might conceivably fulfill a useful role in such conditions. (p. 14)

Subsequent experimental studies by Noyes explored these reported analgesic effects of cannabis. One article examined pain tolerance thresholds (Milstein, MacCannell, Karr, & Clark, 1975). Both naïve (8% increase) and experienced human subjects (16% increase) noted statistically significant increases in pain threshold after smoking cannabis.

In humans, Noyes (Noyes & Baram, 1974) described case studies of five patients who voluntarily employed it to treat their painful conditions. Another study (Noyes, Brunk, Baram, & Canter, 1975) pertained to oral tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) in cancer patients. Pain relief with escalating doses significant to the  $P < 0.001$  level was observed. Peak effects occurred at 3 hours with doses of 10 and 15 mg, but were delayed until 5 hours after the 20-mg oral dose.

Noyes' research group compared the analgesic effect of THC to codeine (Noyes, Brunk, Avery, & Canter, 1975). In short, 10 mg of oral THC reduced subjective pain burdens by similar decrements to 60 mg codeine, as did 20 mg THC vs. 120 mg codeine. The 20-mg dose was sedative and not as well tolerated in some elderly, cannabis-naïve subjects.

Hollister (1986) addressed possible cannabis indications, including analgesia. He concluded that it seemed that no THC homologue would be an analgesic of choice, but that "It is too early to be sure, however" (p. 15). These were prophetic words in light of upcoming cannabinoid receptor research.

In 1991, a series of case studies on the utility of cannabis in treating chronic pain were published (Randall, 1991). One pertained to Lynn Hastings, an Idaho woman with severe juvenile rheumatoid arthritis, whose symptoms of pain, spasm, and depression were resistant to standard medicine, but were effectively treated with cannabis. A state Supreme Court finding of "medical necessity" followed her initial arrest for cultivation of cannabis. Eventually, the charges were dropped.

In 1993, the landmark book *Marihuana, The Forbidden Medicine*, was first published and has since been revised (Grinspoon & Bakalar, 1997). Although criticized in some quarters as anecdotal, the book contains numerous compelling testimonials from patients and their doctors attesting to the clinical efficacy of cannabis where con-

ventional pharmacotherapy failed. Cases of painful conditions responding to cannabis are legion: osteoarthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, pruritus from allergic dermatitis, premenstrual syndrome (PMS), menstrual cramps, labor pains, gingival pain (with local application of cannabis tincture), migraine, phantom limb pain, Crohn's disease, and "functional" gastrointestinal pain. Often, these patients improved with cannabis, worsened without it, and were improved once more upon its resumption. These accounts fulfill criteria of "N-of-1 studies" and have been accepted by epidemiologists as proof of efficacy in rare conditions or ones in which blinded controlled trials are technically difficult (Guyatt, et al., 1990; Larson, 1990).

The *American Journal of Public Health* (1996) "Access," issued a particularly strong plea for liberalization of laws pertaining to medical cannabis in 1996, citing its activity in "decreasing the suffering from chronic pain. . ."

Hollister (2000) has recently reviewed indications for cannabis "for exploratory purposes, any patient with pain unrelieved by conventional analgesics should have access to smoked marijuana if they so desire" (p. 5).

## CANNABINOID AND ENDOCANNABINOID NEUROCHEMISTRY

In recent years, scientists (Barinaga, 1992; Devane, et al., 1992; Marx, 1990; Matsuda, Lolait, Brownstein, Young, & Bonner, 1990) have provided elucidation of the mechanisms of action of cannabis and THC (tetrahydrocannabinol, the primary psychoactive component) with the discovery of an endogenous cannabinoid (endocannabinoid) ligand, arachidonylethanolamide, nicknamed anandamide, from the Sanskrit word *ananda*, or "bliss." Anandamide inhibits cyclic AMP mediated through G-protein coupling in target cells, which cluster in nociceptive areas of the central nervous system (CNS) (Herkenham, 1993). Early testing of its pharmacological action and behavioral activity indicate similarity to THC (Fride & Mechoulam, 1993), although anandamide differs from THC in not causing dynorphin release (Strangman & Walker, 1999). Pertwee (1997) has examined the pharmacology of cannabinoid (CB) receptors in detail. CB<sub>1</sub> receptors are mainly confined to the CNS, while CB<sub>2</sub> receptors are found in the periphery, often in conjunction with immune mechanisms.

Further research has elucidated analgesic mechanisms of cannabinoids, which will be examined system by system.

### Cannabinoids and Serotonergic Systems

Serotonergic mechanisms are implicated in many pain conditions, especially migraine and cluster headaches.



THC reduces serotonin release from the platelets of human migraineurs (Volfe, Dvilansky, & Nathan, 1985).

Cannabis has been observed to stimulate 5-HT synthesis, its brain content, decrease its synaptosomal uptake, while stimulating its release (Spadone, 1991).

Anandamide and other cannabinoid agonists inhibit rat serotonin type 3 (5-HT<sub>3</sub>) receptors (Fan, 1995) that mediate emetic and pain responses. The recent advent of alosetron, a 5-HT<sub>3</sub> blocker employed in the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome ("Alosetron," 2000), would seem to support claims of the efficacy of cannabis in that disorder on the basis of this mechanism.

Recently, Boger and his group (1998) have demonstrated an 89% relative potentiation of the 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> receptor response, and a 36% inhibition of the 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> receptor response by anandamide. Similar effects by THC are likely, supporting efficacy for cannabinoids in acute symptomatic migraine treatment due to agonistic activity at 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> or 5-HT<sub>1D</sub>, and in prophylactic treatment of chronic headache due to antagonistic activity at 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> (Peroutka, 1990a,b).

Kimura, Ohta, Watanabe, Yoshimura, and Yamamoto (1998) showed that high concentrations of anandamide decreased serotonin and ketanserin binding (a 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> antagonist). 11-OH-delta 8-THC and 11-oxo-delta 8-THC metabolites of cannabis were also observed to modify serotonin receptor binding.

Ultimately, this author and colleagues (Russo, Macarah, Todd, Medora, & Parker, 2000) have shown that essential oil components of cannabis demonstrate potent serotonin receptor activity that supports putative synergism with THC in the modulation of analgesia.

### Dopaminergic Systems

The importance of dopaminergic mechanisms in the treatment of migraine and other types of pain has received recent emphasis (Peroutka, 1997). However, existing neuroleptics are significantly sedating.

Ferri, Cavicchini, Romualdi, Speroni, and Murari (1986) were able to demonstrate that 6-hydroxydopamine, which causes degeneration of catecholamine terminals, was able to block THC antinociception.

In a more recent review article (Mechoulam, Fride, & diMarzo, 1998), a number of studies were reviewed as demonstrating that cannabimimetic drugs cause "inhibition of the dopaminergic nigrostriatal system" (p. 12).

Müller-Vahl and colleagues (Müller-Vahl, Kolbe, Schneider, & Enrich, 1998) cited Mailloux (Mailloux & Vanderhaeghen, 1992) in their discussion of cannabinoid interactions with the dopaminergic system stating that: "cannabinoid receptors were found to be co-localized both with dopamine D<sub>1</sub> receptors on striatonigral dynorphin substance-P-containing neurones and with dopamine

D<sub>2</sub> receptors on striatopallidal enkephalinergic neurones" (p. 504).

Carta, Gessa, and Nava (1999) demonstrated that antinociceptive effects of THC are mediated by CB<sub>1</sub> and dopamine D<sub>2</sub> receptors, and that combination of the agents improved analgesic effects in rats.

### Inflammatory Mechanisms

Modern authors (Burstein, 1992; Evans, Formukong, & Evans, 1987; Formukong, Evans, & Evans, 1988, 1989) have examined the relationship between cannabinoids and inflammation. McPartland (2001) provides an excellent summary and analysis of the subject.

Burstein, Levin, & Varanelli (1973) demonstrated that THC and other cannabinoids inhibit prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> synthesis. In 1979, experiments showed that smoked cannabis reduced platelet aggregation (Schaefer, Brackett, Gunn, & Dubowski, 1979).

In 1981, cannabichromene, often the second-most abundant cannabinoid in marijuana after THC, was demonstrated to be a more effective anti-inflammatory agent than phenylbutazone in carrageenan-induced rat paw edema and the erythrocyte membrane stabilization method (Turner & ElSohly, 1981). The authors stated: "The activity of cannabichromene through the oral route, its safety and its lack of behavioral-type (psychotomimetic) activity characteristic of THC(I) indicate its therapeutic potential for the treatment of inflammatory diseases" (pp. 2883-289s).

Evans (1991) has stated that: "Experiments involving oral administration of THC suggested that THC was 20 times more potent than aspirin and twice as potent as hydrocortisone" (p. 565). Cannabidiol (CBD) functioned as a dual cyclooxygenase and lipoxygenase inhibitor in various assays.

Klein (Klein, Friedman, & Specter, 1998) noted that THC had variable effects on tumor necrosis factor (TNF) production, depending on the cells and culture system selected.

In 1998, Jagger and colleagues issued two reports addressing visceral and inflammatory pain in rats (Jagger, Hasnie, Sellaturay, & Rice, 1998; Jagger, Sellaturay, & Rice, 1998). The endocannabinoid anandamide, a CB<sub>1</sub> ligand, prevented and reduced viscera-visceral hyperreflexia (VVH) in the inflamed bladder. In contrast, palmitoylethanolamide, a presumptive endogenous CB<sub>1</sub> ligand that accumulates in inflamed tissues and reduces edema by down-modulating mast cells, only reversed VVH once previously established. The authors posited the possibility of development of non-sedating analgesic anti-inflammatory drugs based on CB<sub>1</sub> receptor agonism.

In a 1999 review (Fimiani, et al., 1999), the authors noted: "Delta-9-THC blocks the conversion of arachidonic acid into all metabolites derived by cyclooxygenase activ-

ity, whereas it stimulates lipoxygenase, resulting in an increase in lipoxygenase products" (p. 27). The COX inhibition of THC may in fact be selective for the COX-2 isozyme, as more fully discussed by McPartland (2001). Clinically, no increased incidence of gastric ulceration was reported in chronic cannabis users (New York (City), 1973; Rubin & Comitas, 1975; Stefanis, Dornbush, & Fink, 1977), thus supporting its likely selectivity for COX-2. In 1978, cannabis was believed to reduce gastric acidity in humans (Nalin, et al., 1978), while another group demonstrated THC to have anti-ulcer effects in rats (Sofia, Nalepa, Harakal, & Vassar, 1973). In fact, one essential oil sesquiterpene component of cannabis, caryophyllene, has recently been demonstrated to have a gastric cytoprotective effect (Tambe, Tsujiuchi, Honda, Ikeshiro, & Tanaka, 1996).

Fimiani and co-workers (Fimiani, et al., 1999) also observed that the morphine-cannabinoid system modulates the eicosanoid cascade and its pro-inflammatory cytokine activity through induction of nitric oxide synthesis, averting damaging effects on tissues. They stated in summary (p. 30): "Thus, we can surmise cannabinoid-morphine systems are down-regulators of inflammatory processes in an attempt to restore homeostasis."

A recent report has demonstrated the efficacy of oral cannabidiol (CBD), a minimally psychoactive cannabis component, at a dose of 5 mg/kg/d in treating mice against collagen-induced arthritis, a model for human rheumatoid arthritis (Malfait, et al., 2000). Benefits were produced through a combination of immunosuppressive effects (diminished CII-specific proliferation and IFN-gamma production) and anti-inflammatory effects (decreased release of tumor necrosis factor by synovial cells).

Cannabis seed also has dietary benefits as an anti-inflammatory agent. It yields linolenic acid, which promotes formation of anti-inflammatory metabolites, and gamma-linolenic acid, which inhibits the formation of pro-inflammatory products from arachidonate (Conrad, 1997; Russo, 2000; Wirtshafter, 1997).

Flavonoid and terpenoid essential oil components of cannabis demonstrate anti-inflammatory effects at physiologically appropriate levels (McPartland & Mediavilla, in press). Cannflavin A and B inhibited prostaglandin E-2 production in human rheumatoid synovial cells 30 times more potently than aspirin (Barrett, Scutt, & Evans, 1986).

The cannabis flavonoid apigenin has anti-inflammatory actions on interleukin, TNF, carrageenan-induced edema, and by inhibition of up-regulation of cytokine-induced genes (Gerritsen, et al., 1995).

Quercetin, another flavonoid in cannabis, serves as an antioxidant and inhibits hydrogen peroxide-mediated NF-kappa B activity (Musonda & Chipman, 1998).

Burstein and co-workers have demonstrated eugenol to be a potent prostaglandin inhibitor (Burstein, Varanelli, & Slade, 1975).

Subsequently, both the alpha-pinene and caryophyllene components of cannabis have proven to demonstrate anti-inflammatory activity in the rat hindpaw edema model (Martin, et al., 1993).

### **Cannabinoid Interactions with Opiates and Endogenous Opioids**

THC experimentally increases beta-endorphin levels (Wiegant, Sweep, & Nir, 1987). Depletion of endorphins has been measured in the CSF of migraineurs during attacks (Fettes, Gawel, Kuzniak, & Edmead, 1985), and theoretically contributes to migraine effects such as hyperalgesia and photophobia.

Early exposure to THC in rat pups boosted adult levels of beta-endorphins in specific brain areas (Kumar, et al., 1990).

Mailleux and Vanderhaeghen (1994) have also demonstrated that THC regulates substance P and enkephalin mRNA levels in the basal ganglia. Manzanares and co-workers have shown that THC is able to promote increases in beta-endorphin in rats (Manzanares, et al., 1998).

Meng and colleagues demonstrated that THC is involved in an analgesic brainstem circuit in the rostral ventromedial medulla that interacts with opiate pathways (Meng, Manning, Martin, & Fields, 1998).

Cichewicz, Martin, Smith, and Welch (1999) have suggested an opiate sparing effect of THC might be employed clinically in pain patients, echoing claims of the 19<sup>th</sup> century pioneers of Indian hemp. Similarly, Welch and Eads (1999) noted that cannabinoid-induced analgesia produced antinociception through spinal dynorphin release with synergistic effects with opiates. They stated, however: "THC, in comparison to the morphine derivatives, has a greater therapeutic range" (p. 188)

Many analgesic effects of cannabinoids cannot be reproduced by opiates, however, particularly in cases of neuropathic pain (Hamann & di Vadi, 1999). Nicolodi (1998) examined opiate aggravation of migraine.

Recently, Manzanares and colleagues cited that chronic cannabinoid administration could similarly promote hypothalamic production of beta-endorphin (Manzanares, Corchero, Romero, Fernandez-Ruiz, Ramos, & Fuentes, 1999).

Strangman and Walker (1999) demonstrated that a cannabinoid antagonist was able to decrease wind-up in spinal nociceptive neurons, producing hyperalgesia and allodynia in chronic pain states. The same group showed that cannabinoids selectively affect nociceptive neurons in the spinal cord and ventroposterolateral nucleus of the thalamus in a manner that promotes antinociception without anesthesia (Walker, Hohmann, et al., 1999). In all,

seven sites in the CNS involved in pain processing produced effects after microinjections of cannabinoids, effecting a circuit that mediates the descending pain suppressing effects of opiates.

### Cannabinoids and the Periaqueductal Gray Area

In 1996, researchers demonstrated antinociceptive effects of delta-9-THC and other cannabinoids in the periaqueductal gray matter (PAG) in rats (Lichtman, Cook, & Martin, 1996). The PAG is a putative migraine generator area (Goadsby & Gundlach, 1991; Raskin, 1988). The PAG has received a lengthy analysis (Behbehani, 1995), citing its importance in the processes of ascending and descending pain pathways. A detailed review of effects of the PAG and cannabinoids in migraine is contained in Russo (2001).

Manzanares, Corchero, Romero, Fernandez-Ruiz, Ramos, and Fuentes suggested that cannabinoid-mediated antinociception in the PAG is produced by activation of endogenous opioids, supported by the fact that subchronic THC administration elevates proenkephalin gene expression in the area (Manzanares, et al., 1998).

Recently Walker and colleagues demonstrated that electrical stimulation of PAG in the rat stimulated anandamide release and CB<sub>1</sub> receptor-mediated analgesia (Walker, Hohmann, Martin, Strangman, Huang, & Tsou, 1999). The system seemed to be tonically active and cannabinoid antagonists produced hyperalgesia. The authors posited that this cannabinoid-modulated pain system would support the prospect of approaches with cannabinoids to opiate-resistant syndromes.

### NMDA and Glutamate

A trigeminovascular system has long been implicated as subserving pain, inflammatory and vascular effects, again reviewed in Russo (2001).

In 1996, Shen and co-workers elucidated basic mechanism of cannabinoids in glutamatergic systems (Shen, Piser, Seybold, & Thayer, 1996). Through G-protein coupling, cannabinoid receptors inhibit voltage-gated calcium channels and activate potassium channels to produce presynaptic inhibition of glutamate release. Subsequently, it has been shown (Shen & Thayer, 1999) that THC is a partial agonist acting presynaptically via CB, to modulate glutamatergic transmission through a reduction without blockade.

Hampson and colleagues (Hampson, Bomheim, Scanziani, Yost, Gray, Hansen, Leonoudakis, & Bickler, 1998) demonstrated a 30 to 40% reduction in delta-calcium-NMDA responses by THC, which was eliminated by a cannabinoid antagonist. THC and CBD components of cannabis act as neuroprotective antioxidants against glutamate neurotoxicity and cell death mediated via

NMDA, AMPA and kainate receptors (Hampson, Grimaldi, Axelrod, & Wink, 1998). Effects are independent of cannabinoid receptors. The natural cannabinoids were more potent in their anti-oxidant effects than either alpha-tocopherol or ascorbate.

Italian researchers Nicolodi, Sicuteri, and colleagues have recently elucidated the role of NMDA antagonists in eliminating hyperalgesia in migraine, chronic daily headache, fibromyalgia, and possibly other mechanisms of chronic pain (Nicolodi & Sicuteri, 1995, 1998; Nicolodi, Del Bianco, & Sicuteri, 1997; Nicolodi, Volpe, & Sicuteri, 1998). Gabapentin and ketamine were suggested as tools to block this system and provide amelioration. Given the above observations and relationships, it is logical that prolonged use of THC prophylactically may exert similar benefits, as was espoused in cures of chronic daily headache claimed in the 19th century with regular use of extract of Indian hemp (Mackenzie, 1887).

This concept is bolstered by examination of another series of articles by Richardson and co-workers. One study (Richardson, Kilo, & Hargreaves, 1998) examined peripheral mechanisms, wherein cannabinoids acted on CB, to reduce hyperalgesia and inflammation via inhibition of neurosecretion of calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) in capsaicin-activated nerve terminals.

At the spinal level, they noted an antihyperalgesic effect of cannabinoids, mediated by the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor (Richardson, Aanonsen, & Hargreaves, 1998a). Additionally, experimental cannabinoid receptor blockade induced a glutamate-dependent hyperalgesia, suggesting a tonic activity of cannabinoids in averting such a development. The authors suggested the clinical utilization of cannabinoids in disorders "characterized by primary afferent barrage" (p. 152). Inasmuch as an increased potency of cannabinoids was observed in hyperalgesia this "may mean that there are dosages of cannabinoids that would be effective as antihyperalgesic agents but subthreshold for the untoward psychomimetic effects." This is akin to Dixon's (1899) observations of patients able to return to work after treating their headaches with a few inhalations of cannabis.

Elaborating on these themes, Richardson noted that a decrease in lumbar cannabinoid receptor numbers correlated with hyperalgesia, and could provide an etiology for certain chronic pain states, especially those unresponsive to opiates (Richardson, Aanonsen, & Hargreaves, 1998b).

In a more recent study (Li, Daughters, Bullis, Benigiamin, Stucky, Brennan, & Simone, 1999), the synthetic cannabinoid agonist WIN 55,212-2 was employed to block capsaicin-induced hyperalgesia in rat paws, much as has been observed for THC in formalin treatment.

Ko and Woods (1999) examined local THC administration and its activity on capsaicin-induced pain in rhesus monkeys. THC effectively reduced pain, which was blocked by a CB<sub>1</sub> antagonist and was effective at

a parenteral dose that produced no behavioral change or sedation.

Maneuf, Nash, Crossman, and Brotchie (1996) examined similar issues at higher CNS levels, and were able to show a tonic activation of the cannabinoid system serving to reduce GABA uptake in the globus pallidus.

### Synergism and the Entourage Effect

Palmitylethanolamide (PEA) is another endogenous cannabinoid with analgesic effects, released with from a phospholipid in conjunction with anandamide (Calignano, La Rana, Giuffrida, & Piomelli, 1998). Ensemble, the two substances effect a 100-fold synergism on CB<sub>1</sub> type peripheral receptors in cutaneous tissues.

Endocannabinoids and their inactive metabolites combine to boost physiological responses (the "entourage effect") (Mechoulam & Ben-Shabat, 1999). Given the likely contributions of cannabis flavonoids and essential oils to therapeutic effects on mood, inflammation, and pain reviewed in McPartland and Pruitt (1999), one may readily accept Mechoulam's quotation: "This type of synergism may play a role in the widely held (but not experimentally based) view that in some cases plants are better drugs than the natural products isolated from them" (Mechoulam & Ben-Shabat, 1999, p. 136)

## PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF CANNABINOIDS TO ANALGESIA

### MARINOL<sup>®</sup> (DRONABINOL): PROS AND CONS

Marinol<sup>®</sup> is a synthetically derived THC dissolved in sesame oil, developed by Unimed Pharmaceuticals and marketed by Roxane Laboratories. It is available in capsules of 2.5, 5, and 10 mg and is marketed in the United States, Canada, Australia, and some areas in Europe (Grotenherman, in press a). Until 1999, Marinol<sup>®</sup> was a Schedule II drug in the U.S. with close scrutiny to its usage, which was restricted to indications of AIDS-associated anorexia and cancer chemotherapy. After safety studies revealed a low potential for abuse or diversion (Calhoun, Galloway, & Smith, 1998), dronabinol was "down-scheduled" to Schedule III, allowing refill prescriptions for up to 6 months, and its "off-label" administration for any indication.

Clinicians have utilized Marinol<sup>®</sup> to only a limited degree. Its bioavailability is only 25 to 30% of an equivalent smoked dose of THC (British Medical Association [BMA], 1997). Additional problems include the first pass effect of hepatic metabolism, which results in the production of a more psychoactive metabolite 11-hydroxy-THC, and its considerable cost, which may exceed U.S.\$600 per month for the lowest dosage of 2.5 mg t.i.d. Considerable anecdotal data supports preference by patients of smoked

cannabis over dronabinol (Grinspoon & Bakalar, 1997; Russo, in press).

Reports of dronabinol use in painful clinical conditions are few, but it has had some variable success in migraine prophylaxis (Mikuriya, 1997; Russo, 1998; 2000; in press).

Maurer, Henn, Dittrich, and Hoffman (1990) demonstrated efficacy of analgesia of 5 mg p.o. THC to 50 mg codeine in treatment of pain in a young paraplegic after removal of a spinal tumor. However, THC also limited spasticity, whereas codeine and placebo did not.

Holdcroft, et al. were able to demonstrate an analgesic benefit ( $p < 0.001$ ) of THC 50 mg per day in five split doses in a patient with relapsing familial Mediterranean fever in a double-blind placebo-controlled trial (Holdcroft, et al., 1997).

### NABILONE

Nabilone is a synthetic cannabinoid said to be pharmacologically similar to THC, but more potent, less apt to produce euphoria, and possessing lower "abuse potential" (BMA, 1997). It is produced by Eli Lilly Company as Cesamet<sup>®</sup> and is available in the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia, and certain countries in Europe (Grotenherman, in press a) as an agent for nausea in chemotherapy. Some scattered reports have noted benefit on spasticity in multiple sclerosis, and effects on dyskinesias. Lethal toxicity in dogs has been noted with chronic use (Mechoulam & Feigenbaum, 1987).

A group in the U.K. recently assessed the analgesic effects of nabilone in patients, including some with neuropathic pain (Notcutt, Price, & Chapman, 1997). Side effects of drowsiness and dysphoria were troubling. Several patients claimed improved pain relief and fewer side effects with smoked cannabis and preferred it to this legal alternative. Nabilone's cost was also estimated to be 10 times higher than cannabis, even at "black market" rates.

### LEVONANTRADOL

Levonantradol is a synthetic cannabinoid developed by Pfizer. Although analgesic responses of up to 6 hours were noted in postoperative pain patients (Jain, Ryan, McMahon, & Smith, 1981), no dose-response effects were observed and side effects were a significant issue. The latter included somnolence (50 to 100%) and dysphoria (30 to 50%), according to the British Medical Association (BMA, 1997), and were labeled "unacceptable" by that formal body.

### AJULEMIC ACID (CT3)

Ajulemic acid is synthetic derived from delta-8-THC that does not bind to cannabinoid receptors. CT3 is being developed by Atlantic Pharmaceuticals. It has shown anal-

gesic and anti-inflammatory properties in animal models without COX-1 inhibition side effects, and reportedly will be soon in Phase 1 human trials (Burstein, 2000, in press).

### CANNABIS PROPER

Use of cannabis for pain conditions is extensive in the United States and some European countries. A survey of patients attending the Oakland Cannabis Buyers' Club revealed (Gieringer, in press):

By far the largest category of patients interviewed by Mikuriya use cannabis for analgesia to treat conditions including: migraines and neuralgias; arthritis and rheumatism; spinal, skeletal and back disorders due to injury, deformity, or degenerative disease; inflammatory gastrointestinal disorders, and a host of miscellaneous diseases.

Analysis of the totals revealed that at least 1133 of 2480 patients (or 46%) sought cannabis for analgesia in treatment of chronic pain conditions.

Cannabis is traditionally employed therapeutically by smoking or ingestion. Each has advantages and disadvantages. Grotenhermen (2001, in press b) has produced an excellent summary of "Practical Hints," as have Brazis and Mathre (1997).

Dosing of therapeutic cannabis must be titrated to the patient's need. In general, 5 mg represents a threshold dose for noticeable effects in the average adult. Whereas tolerance to cardiovascular effects (tachycardia) and psychoactive effects ("high") are achieved after some days to weeks of chronic usage, observed clinical and "anecdotal" reports support retention of analgesic efficacy over the long term. Occasionally, upward dose titration is necessary, as is true for any agent.

Allergies to cannabis are rare, although some may experience rhinitis symptoms, particularly when exposed to the smoke of the unrefined product.

More severe psychiatric conditions present a relative contraindication to the use of cannabis, while many milder emotional afflictions may benefit from the drug (Grinspoon & Bakalar, 1997; Russo, 2000). Although concerns have been raised about subtle neuropsychological sequelae in children born to mothers employing cannabis in pregnancy (Fried, in press), other studies have shown no significant abnormalities (Dreher, 1997). Certainly, no mutagenic or teratogenic potential has been demonstrated in humans.

Concerns about our youth employing cannabis are often well intentioned. However, there is some evidence that very young children may be relatively resistant to its psychoactive properties. A research group in Israel examined the anti-emetic effects of delta-8-tetrahydrocannabinol (a natural isomer) in a series of children undergoing chemotherapy (Abrahamov & Mechoulam, 1995). Excellent efficacy and

tolerance were observed at doses that would be expected to produce significant psychoactivity in adults.

People employing cannabis therapeutically must be warned of the usual caveats assigned to any potentially sedative drug, especially due care with the operation of machinery and motor vehicles.

Acute overdoses of cannabis are self-limited and most frequently consist of panic reactions. These are uniquely sensitive to reassurance ("talking down") and are quite unusual once a patient becomes familiar with the drug. Cannabis has a unique distinction of safety over four millennia of analgesic usage: no deaths due to direct toxicity of cannabis have ever been documented in the medical literature.

Some cannabis-drug interactions are apparent, but are few in number or consequence. Additive sedative effects with other agents, including alcohol, may be observed. Similarly, however, additive or synergistic anti-emetic and analgesic benefits may accrue when combining dopamine agonist neuroleptics and cannabis (Carta, et al., 1999). Cannabis may accelerate metabolism of theophylline, while slowing that of barbiturates. Anticholinergic-induced tachycardia may be accentuated by cannabis, while this effect is countered by beta-blockers (Grotenhermen, in press b). Indomethacin appears to slightly reduce the psychoactive and tachycardic effects of cannabis (Perez-Reyes, Burstein, White, McDonald, & Hicks, 1991). As discussed, synergistic analgesic benefits may accrue with concomitant usage of cannabis and opioids (Cichewicz, et al., 1999; Hare, 1887).

Crude cannabis contains most of its THC in the form of delta-9-THC acids that must be decarboxylated by heating to be activated. This occurs automatically when cannabis is smoked, whereas cannabis that is employed orally should be heated to 200–210°C for 5 minutes prior to ingestion (Brenneisen, 1984).

Contrary to disseminated propaganda in the United States, average cannabis potency has varied little over the last 3 decades (ElSohly, Ross, Mehmedic, Ararat, Yi, & Banahan, 2000; Mikuriya & Aldrich, 1988). It is true that the *maximum* potency has increased through applied genetics, cultivation, and harvesting techniques. This goal is achieved through production of clonal cultivation of the preferred female plants and maximization of the yield of unsterilized flowering tops known as *sinsemilla* (Spanish for "without seed"). In this manner, a concentration of stalked trichomes where THC and therapeutic terpenoids are produced is effected. Resultant yields of THC may exceed 20% by weight. This is potentially advantageous, particularly when smoked, because a therapeutic dosage of THC is obtained with fewer inhalations, thereby decreasing lung exposure to tars and carcinogens.

A considerable concentration of THC, and other cannabinoids and terpenoids as well, may also be achieved through some simple processing of crude, dried cannabis.

Techniques for sieving or washing of cannabis to isolate the trichomes to produce hashish are well described (Clarke, 1998; Rosenthal, Gieringer, & Mikuriya, 1997) and may produce potential yields of 40 to 60% THC. Clarke (1998) demonstrates a simple method of rolling the resultant powdery material into a joint of pure hashish, termed "smoking the snake," providing a relatively pure product for inhalation.

Cultivation techniques are beyond the scope of this review, but are freely available through a variety of guidebooks (Clarke, 1981; Rosenthal, Gieringer, & Mikuriya, 1997), magazines such as *Cannabis Culture* or *High Times*, or via the Internet to those who live in jurisdictions where this endeavor is legal. Outdoor, indoor, or hydroponic techniques are possible. Emphasis should focus on potent medicinal strains, scrupulous organic cultivation of female plants, clonal selection and augmentation, and appropriate processing.

### Oral Use of Cannabis

A variety of issues attend this mode of cannabis administration. The most important concerns bioavailability. Oral absorption of cannabinoids is slow and erratic at best, often requiring 30 to 120 minutes. In HIV-positive or chemotherapy patients and in acute migraine with nausea and emesis, oral usage may be precluded altogether. Additionally, oral THC is subject to the "first pass effect" of hepatic metabolism yielding 11-hydroxy-THC, considerably more psychoactive than THC itself. Thus, some patients become "too high" even on low doses of medicine, such as 2.5 mg THC as dronabinol.

Advantages of oral usage are its avoidance of lung exposure in those who are immunosuppressed or have impaired pulmonary function, and its prolonged half-life. This may be of advantage for nocturnal complaints where sedation is less of an issue.

Grotenhermen (2001, in press b) suggests dose titration beginning with 2.5 mg oral THC b.i.d. with increases as needed and tolerated. For cannabis of 5% THC content, this would represent 50 mg herb per dose. For 10% THC cannabis, only 25 mg plant material would be required. Most painful clinical conditions require t.i.d. dosing of cannabis.

THC, CBD, and terpenoids are all highly lipophilic. Gastrointestinal absorption is markedly enhanced by inclusion of lipids in the cooked preparations. Traditional Indian cannabis cookery makes good use of *ghee*, or clarified butter. When cannabis tea is employed, added cream will enhance clinical benefits. Therapeutic tincture extraction in alcohol is also possible.

### SMOKED CANNABIS

Techniques of smoking cannabis are legion and include marijuana cigarettes ('joint,' 'reefer,' etc.), pipes,

waterpipes ("hookahs"), and bongs. Pharmacodynamically, smoking would be an ideal method of application of clinical cannabis, except for the attendant pulmonary issues. Clinical effects are noted within seconds to minutes after smoking. Inhalation avoids the first pass effect that hampers oral use, and allows effective dosage titration. Doses as low as 5 mg may provide relief of clinical symptoms, while hundreds of testimonials indicate the ability to continue work or study with unimpaired effectiveness. When symptoms return, repeat dosage is achieved quickly and easily. Overdosage is effectively avoidable.

In chronic usage of smoked cannabis, it is true that isolated cases of head and neck carcinogenesis have been noted (Tashkin, in press). Precancerous cytological changes in the airways of heavy cannabis smokers have been observed via bronchoscopy, but do not seem to lead to emphysematous deterioration (Tashkin, Simmons, Sherrill, & Coulson, 1997).

The "amotivational syndrome" has been largely relegated to the dustbin of drug war propaganda (Zimmer & Morgan, 1997). In fact, the interested reader may wish to seek out three rare books of the past generation on chronic usage that are remarkable for their careful documentation of the few distinguishing features between chronic cannabis smokers and age-matched controls (Carter, Coggins, & Doughty, 1976; Rubin & Comitas, 1975; Stefanis, et al. 1977). These are rarely mentioned in current alarmist reviews of the dangers of cannabis.

Some old myths die hard. Traditional smoking techniques in the U.S. make prolonged holding of a marijuana "toke" *de rigueur*. From a dose-response standpoint, this is unnecessary. Inhaled THC is well absorbed after a very brief interval, and subjective high and serum THC levels do not increase beyond a maximum 10-second inhalation (Azorlosa, Greenwald, & Stitzer, 1995). Furthermore, prolonged breath-holding under pressure increases the potential for hypoxia or pneumothorax (Tashkin, in press).

Contamination of herbal cannabis by pesticides, herbicides, and bacterial or fungal agents is possible and may represent a threat to the smoker, especially immunosuppressed patients (McPartland & Pruitt, 1997; McPartland, in press; Tashkin, in press). Scrupulous cultivation techniques avoid some of these issues. McPartland (in press) recommends pasteurization of herbal cannabis by heating in an oven at 150°C for 5 minutes.

Waterpipes and bongs are popular techniques for cooling smoke. Although they may reduce particulate matter as well, THC content and pharmaceutical efficiency appear to be compromised (Gieringer, 1996a,b). Surprisingly, the unfiltered "joint" seems to represent the most efficient means for conventional smoking, although use of hashish in a pipe (without tobacco) was not examined.

### Vaporizers for Cannabis Administration

Vaporization of herbal cannabis may allow THC and terpenoid components below the flash point of the leaf, thereby reducing exposure to smoke, tar, and carcinogens. The technology has been hampered in its development by paraphernalia laws. Initial investigations of available devices to date have had disappointing results (Gieringer, 1996a, b), but further studies are currently underway and appear very promising.

### Rectal Administration

Suppository preparations of cannabis were employed in the 19th century and may be an acceptable alternative route of administration for some conditions. The first-pass effect is largely avoided, although the ability for close dose titration is lost. THC suppositories, particularly as a hemisuccinate, have proven to be twice as bioavailable as oral THC (Brenneisen, Egli, ElSohly, Henn, & Spiess 1996; ElSohly, Little, Hikal, Harland, Stanford, & Walker, 1991; Mattes, Engelman, Shaw, ElSohly, 1994). No studies have examined the use of this preparation with respect to analgesia, but one might expect comparison to dronabinol at least with regard to the spectrum of activity. Synergistic combinations of cannabis components may be more valuable.

Suppositories are not a popular method of drug delivery in the United States.

### Sublingual Tincture of Cannabis

This method of administration is under investigation by GW Pharmaceuticals in the United Kingdom, employing combinations of specific strains of cannabis that are rich in THC or CBD. Terpenoids and other minor components that may be important to therapeutics effects of cannabis are retained in this fashion. Dose-metered sublingual sprays are currently in Phase 1 and Phase 2 clinical trials for a variety of indications. Initial results indicate good bioavailability, patient tolerance, and clinical effects.

### Aerosol THC Preparations

Cannabis has a long history of use in asthma, even as a smoked preparation. A pure THC aerosol has been attempted numerous times in the past. Physical and delivery issues have been challenging, but more interestingly, pure THC seems to have an irritating and even bronchoconstrictive effect when employed in isolation (Tashkin, 1977). This author (Russo) believes that anti-inflammatory effects of concomitant terpenoid and flavonoid administration is necessary for full effects and tolerance in pursuit of the pulmonary route. Further research is underway by GW Pharmaceuticals, Inhale Therapeutic Systems, and possibly others.

### Transdermal Administration

The American Cancer Society has received a large grant to examine the use of a THC skin patch. No pharmacokinetics data are currently available to ascertain whether transdermal THC administration is a viable option (Brenneisen, 2001, in press).

### REFERENCES

- Abrahamov, A., & Mechoulam, R. (1995). An efficient new cannabinoid antiemetic in pediatric oncology. *Life Sciences*, 56(23-24), 2097-2 102.
- Access to therapeutic marijuana/cannabis. (1996). *American Journal of Public Health*, 86, 441442.
- Alosetron (Lotronex) for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome. (2000). *Medical Letters*, 42(1081), 53-54.
- Aulde, J. (1890). Studies in therapeutics-Cannabis indica. *Therapeutic Gazette*, 14, 523-526.
- Azorlosa, J.L., Greenwald, M.K., & Stitzer, M.L. (1995). Marijuana smoking: Effects of varying puff volume and breathhold duration. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 272(2), 560-569.
- Barinaga, M. (1992). Pot, heroin unlock new areas for neuroscience. *Science*, 258, 1882-1884.
- Barrett, M.L., Scutt, A M., & Evans, F.J. (1986). Cannflavin A and B, prenylated flavones from *Cannabis sativa* L. *Experientia*, 42(4), 452-453.
- Batho, R. (1883, May 26). Cannabis indica. *British Medical Journal*, 1, 1002.
- Behbehani, M.M. (1995). Functional characteristics of the mid-brain periaqueductal gray. *Progress in Neurobiology*, 46(6), 575-605.
- Benet, S. (1975). Early diffusion and folk uses of hemp. In V. Rubin (Ed.), *Cannabis and Culture* (pp. 39-49). The Hague: Mouton Publishers.
- Biruni, M.I.A., & Said, H.M. (1973). *al-Biruni's book on pharmacy and materia medica*. Karachi: Hamdard Academy.
- Boger, D.L., Patterson, J.E., & Jin, Q. (1998). Structural requirements for 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> and 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> serotonin receptor potentiation by the biologically active lipid oleamide. *Proceedings, National Academy of Science (USA)*, 95(8), 41024107.
- Brazis, M.Z., & Mathre, M.L. (1997). Dosage and administration of cannabis. In M.L. Mathre (Ed.), *Cannabis in medical practice: A legal, historical and pharmacological overview of the therapeutic use of marijuana* (pp. 142-156). Jefferson, NC: McFarland.
- Brenneisen, R. (1984). Psychotrope Drogen. II. Bestimmung der Cannabinoide in *Cannabis sativa* L. und in Cannabisprodukten mittels Hochdruckflüssigkeitschromatographie (HPLC) [Psychotropic drugs. II. Determination of cannabinoids in *Cannabis sativa* L. and in cannabis products with high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC)]. *Pharmaceutica Acta Helveticae*, 59(9-10), 247-59.

- Brenneisen, R. (2001, in press). Pharmacokinetics. In F. Grotenhermen & E.B. Russo (Eds.), *Cannabis and cannabinoids: Pharmacology, toxicity and therapeutic potential*. Binghamton, NY: Haworth Press.
- Brenneisen, R., Egli, A., Elsohly, M.A., Henn, V., & Spiess, Y. (1996). The effect of orally and rectally administered delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol on spasticity: A pilot study with 2 patients. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 34(10), 446-452.
- British Medical Association (1997). *Therapeutic uses of cannabis*. Amsterdam: Harwood Academic Publishers.
- Brown, J. (1883). Cannabis indica: A valuable remedy in menorrhagia. *British Medical Journal*, 1(May 26), 1002.
- Burstein, S. (1992). Eicosanoids as mediators of cannabinoid action. In L. Murphy & A. Bartke (Eds.), *Marijuana/cannabinoids: Neurobiology and neurophysiology of drug abuse. I.* (pp. 73-91). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Burstein, S.H. (2000). Ajulemic acid (CT3): A potent analog of the acid metabolites of THC. *Current Pharmaceutical Design*, 6(13), 1339-1345.
- Burstein, S.H. (2001, in press). The therapeutic potential of ajulemic acid (CT3). In F. Grotenhermen & E.B. Russo (Eds.), *Cannabis and cannabinoids: Pharmacology, toxicology and therapeutic potential*. Binghamton, NY: Haworth Press.
- Burstein, S., Levin, E., & Varanelli, C. (1973). Prostaglandins and cannabis. II. Inhibition of biosynthesis by the naturally occurring cannabinoids. *Biochemical Pharmacology*, 22(22), 2905-2910.
- Burstein, S., Varanelli, C., & Slade, L. (1975). Prostaglandins and cannabis. III. Inhibition of biosynthesis by essential oil components of marijuana. *Biochemical Pharmacology*, 24(9), 1053-1054.
- Calhoun, S.R., Galloway, G.P., & Smith, D.E. (1998). Abuse potential of dronabinol (Marinol). *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, 30(2), 187-196.
- Calignano, A., La Rana, G., Giuffrida, A., & Piomelli, D. (1998). Control of pain initiation by endogenous cannabinoids. *Nature*, 394(6690), 277-281.
- Carta, G., Gessa, G.L., & Nava, F. (1999). Dopamine D(2) receptor antagonists prevent delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabinol-induced antinociception in rats. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 384(2-3), 153-156.
- Carter, W.E., Coggins, W.J., & Doughty, P.L. (1976). *Chronic cannabis use in Costa Rica: A report by the Center for Latin American Studies of the University of Florida to the National Institute on Drug Abuse*. Gainesville: University of Florida Press.
- Chomel, P.J.B. (1782). *Abrégé de l'histoire des plantes usuelles*. Paris: Libraires Associés.
- Chopra, I.C., & Chopra, R.W. (1957). The use of cannabis drugs in India. *Bulletin on Narcotics*, 9, 4-29.
- Christison, A. (1851). On the natural history, action, and uses of Indian hemp. *Monthly Journal of Medical Science of Edinburgh, Scotland*, 13, 26-45, 117-121.
- Cichewicz, D.L., Martin, Z.L., Smith, E.L., & Welch, S.P. (1999). Enhancement of mu opioid antinociception by oral delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol: Dose-response analysis and receptor identification. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 289(2), 859-867.
- Clarke, R.C. (1998). *Hashish!* Los Angeles, CA: Red Eye Press.
- Clarke, R.C. (1981). *Marijuana botany: An advanced study: The propagation and breeding of distinctive cannabis*. Berkeley, CA: And/Or Press.
- Clendinning, J. (1843). Observation on the medicinal properties of *Cannabis sativa* of India. *Medico-Chirurgical Transactions*, 26, 188-210.
- Conrad, C. (1997). *Hemp for health: The medicinal and nutritional uses of Cannabis sativa*. Rochester, VT: Healing Arts Press.
- Dastur, J.F. (1962). *Medicinal plants of India and Pakistan*. Bombay: D.B. Taraporevala Sons.
- Devane, W.A., Hanus, L., Breuer, A., Pertwee, R.G., Stevenson, L.A., Griffin, G., Gibson, D., Mandelbaum, A., Etinger, A., & Mechoulam, R. (1992). Isolation and structure of a brain constituent that binds to the cannabinoid receptor. *Science*, 258(5090), 1946-1949.
- Dioscorides, P. (1968). *The Greek herbal of Dioscorides*. London: Hafner Publishing.
- Dixon, W.E. (1899). The pharmacology of *Cannabis indica*. *British Medical Journal*, 2, 1354-1357.
- Donovan, M. (1845). On the physical and medicinal qualities of Indian hemp (*Cannabis indica*); With observations on the best mode of administration, and cases illustrative of its powers. *Dublin Journal of Medical Science*, 26, 368-402, 459-461.
- Douthwaite, A.H. (1947). Choice of drugs in the treatment of duodenal ulcer. *British Medical Journal*, 43, 43-47.
- Dreher, M.C. (1997). Cannabis and pregnancy. In M. L. Mathre (Ed.), *Cannabis in medical practice: A legal, historical and pharmacological overview of the therapeutic use of marijuana* (pp. 159-170). Jefferson, NC: McFarland.
- Dwarakanath, C. (1965). Use of opium and cannabis in the traditional systems of medicine in India. *Bulletin on Narcotics*, 17, 15-19.
- ElSohly, M.A., Little, T.L., Jr., Hikal, A., Harland, E., Stanford, D.F., & Walker, L. (1991). Rectal bioavailability of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol from various esters. *Pharmacology, Biochemistry, and Behavior*, 40(3), 497-502.
- ElSohly, M.A., Ross, S.A., Mehmedic, Z., Arafat, R., Yi, B., & Banahan, B.F., III (2000). Potency trends of delta9-THC and other cannabinoids in confiscated marijuana from 1980-1997. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 45(1), 24-30.
- Evans, A.T., Formukong, E.A., & Evans, F.J. (1987). Actions of cannabis constituents on enzymes of arachidonate metabolism: Anti-inflammatory potential. *Biochemical Pharmacology*, 36(12), 2035-2037.
- Evans, F.J. (1991). Cannabinoids: The separation of central from peripheral effects on a structural basis. *Planta Medica*, 57(7), S60-S67.
- Fan, P. (1995). Cannabinoid agonists inhibit the activation of 5-HT3 receptors in rat nodose ganglion. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 73, 907-910.
- Farlow, J.W. (1889). On the use of belladonna and *Cannabis indica* by the rectum in gynecological practice. *Boston Medical and Surgical Journal*, 120, 507-509.
- Ferri, S., Cavicchini, E., Romualdi, I., Speroni, E., & Murari, G. (1986). Possible mediation of catecholaminergic pathways in the antinociceptive effect of an extract of *Cannabis sativa* L. *Psychopharmacology*, 89(2), 244-247.



- Fettes, I., Gawel, M., Kuzniak, S., & Edmeads, J. (1985). Endorphin levels in headache syndromes. *Headache*, 25(1), 37-39.
- Fimiani, C., Liberty, T., Aquirre, A.J., Amin, I., Ali, N., & Stefano, G.B. (1999). Opiate, cannabinoid, and eicosanoid signaling converges on common intracellular pathways nitric oxide coupling. *Prostaglandins and Other Lipid Mediators*, 57(1), 23-34.
- Fishbein, M. (1942). Migraine associated with menstruation. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 237, 326.
- Formukong, E.A., Evans, A.T., & Evans, F.J. (1988). Analgesic and antiinflammatory activity of constituents of *Cannabis sativa* L. *Inflammation*, 12(4), 361-371.
- Formukong, E.A., Evans, A.T., & Evans, F.J. (1989). The inhibitory effects of cannabinoids, the active constituents of *Cannabis sativa* L. on human and rabbit platelet aggregation. *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, 41(10), 705-709.
- Fride, E., & Mechoulam, R. (1993). Pharmacological activity of the cannabinoid receptor agonist, anandamide, a brain constituent. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 231(2), 313-314.
- Fried, P.A. (in press). Pregnancy. In F. Grotenhermen & E. Russo (Eds.), *Cannabis and cannabinoids: Pharmacology, toxicology and therapeutic potential*. Binghamton, NY: Haworth Press.
- Gerritsen, M.E., Carley, W.W., Ranges, G.E., Shen, C.P., Phan, S.A., Ligon, G.F., & Perry, C.A. (1995). Flavonoids inhibit cytokine-induced endothelial cell adhesion protein gene expression. *American Journal of Pathology*, 147(2), 278-292.
- Ghalioungui, P. (1987). *The Eberspapyrus: A new English translation, commentaries and glossaries*. Cairo: Academy of Scientific Research and Technology.
- Gieringer, D. (1996a). Waterpipe study. *Bulletin of the Multidisciplinary Association for Psychedelic Studies*, 6, 59-63.
- Gieringer, D. (1996b). Why marijuana smoke harm reduction? *Bulletin of the Multidisciplinary Association for Psychedelic Studies*, 6, 64-66.
- Gieringer, D. (in press). Medical use of cannabis: Experience in California. In F. Grotenhermen & E. Russo (Eds.), *Cannabis and cannabinoids: Pharmacology, toxicology, & therapeutic potential*. Binghamton, NY: Haworth Press.
- Goadsby, P.J., & Gundlach, A.L. (1991). Localization of <sup>3</sup>H-dihydroergotamine-binding sites in the cat central nervous system: Relevance to migraine. *Annals of Neurology*, 29(1), 91-94.
- Grigor, J. (1852). Indian hemp as an oxytocic. *Monthly Journal of Medical Sciences*, 14, 124.
- Grinspoon, L., & Bakalar, J.B. (1997). *Marihuana, the forbidden medicine* (Rev. & exp. ed). New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Grotenhermen, F. (in press a). Definitions and explanations. In F. Grotenhermen & E. Russo (Eds.), *Cannabis and cannabinoids: Pharmacology, toxicology, & therapeutic potential*. Binghamton, NY: Haworth Press.
- Grotenhermen, F. (in press b). Practical hints. In F. Grotenhermen & E. Russo (Eds.), *Cannabis and Cannabinoids: Pharmacology, toxicology, & therapeutic potential*. Binghamton, NY: Haworth Press.
- Gu, W., & Clarke, R.C. (1998). A survey of hemp (*Cannabis sativa* L.) use by the Hmong (Miao) of the China/Vietnam border region. *Journal of the International Hemp Association*, 5(1), 4-9.
- Guyatt, G.H., Keller, J.L., Jaeschke, R., Rosenbloom, D., Adachi, J.D., & Newhouse, M.T. (1990). The n-of-1 randomized controlled trial: Clinical usefulness. Our three-year experience. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 112(4), 293-299.
- Hamann, W., & di Vadi, P.P. (1999). Analgesic effect of the cannabinoid analogue nabilone is not mediated by opioid receptors. *Lancet*, 353(9152), 560.
- Hampson, A.J., Bornheim, L.M., Scanziani, M., Yost, C.S., Gray, A.T., Hansen, B.M., Leonoudakis, D.J., & Bickler, P.E. (1998). Dual effects of anandamide on NMDA receptor-mediated responses and neurotransmission. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, 70(2), 671-676.
- Hampson, A.J., Grimaldi, M., Axelrod, J., & Wink, D. (1998). Cannabidiol and (-)Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol are neuroprotective antioxidants. *Proceedings, National Academy of Science (USA)*, 95(14), 8268-8273.
- Hare, H.A. (1887). Clinical and physiological notes on the action of *Cannabis indica*. *Therapeutic Gazette*, 2, 225-228.
- Hare, H.A. (1922). *A text-book of practical therapeutics, with especial reference to the application of remedial measures to disease and their employment upon a rational basis* (18th ed.). Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger.
- Herkenham, M.A. (1993). Localization of cannabinoid receptors in brain: Relationship to motor and reward systems. In S. G. Korman & J. D. Barchas (Eds.), *Biological basis of substance abuse* (pp. 187-200). London: Oxford University.
- Hoechstetter, S.S. (1930). Effects of alcohol and cannabis during labor. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 94(15), 1165.
- Holdcroft, A., Smith, M., Jacklin, A., Hodgson, H., Smith, B., Newton, M., & Evans, F. (1997). Pain relief with oral cannabinoids in familial Mediterranean fever. *Anaesthesia*, 52(5), 483-486.
- Hollister, L.E. (1986). Health aspects of cannabis. *Pharmacological Reviews*, 38(1), 1-20.
- Hollister, L.E. (2000). An approach to the medical marijuana controversy. *Drug and Alcohol Dependency*, 58(1-2), 3-7.
- Hutchinson, H.W. (1975). Patterns of marijuana use in Brazil. In V. Rubin (Ed.), *Cannabis and culture* (pp. 173-183). The Hague: Mouton.
- Indian Hemp Drugs Commission (1894). *Report of the Indian Hemp Drugs Commission, 1893-94*. Simla, India: Govt. Central Print. Office.
- Jaggat, S.I., Hasnie, E.S., Sellaturay, S., & Rice, A.S. (1998). The anti-hyperalgesic actions of the cannabinoid anandamide and the putative CB<sub>1</sub> receptor agonist palmitoylethanolamide in visceral and somatic inflammatory pain. *Pain*, 76(1-2), 189-199.
- Jaggat, S.I., Sellaturay, S., & Rice, A.S. (1998). The endogenous cannabinoid anandamide, but not the CB<sub>2</sub> ligand palmitoylethanolamide, prevents the viscera-visceral hyperreflexia associated with inflammation of the rat urinary bladder. *Neuroscience Letters*, 253(2), 123-126.

- Jain, A.K., Ryan, J.R., McMahon, F.G., & Smith, G. (1981). Evaluation of intramuscular levonantradol and placebo in acute postoperative pain. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 21(8-9 Suppl.), 320S-326S.
- Julien, M.S. (1849). Chirurgie chinoise-Substance anesthétique employée en Chine, dans le commencement du III-ième siècle de notre ère, pour paralyser momentanément la sensibilité. *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires de l'Académie des Sciences*, 28, 223-229.
- Kahl, O. (1994). *Sabur ibn Sahl: Dispensatorium parvum (al-Aarabdhin al-Sughir)*. Leiden, The Netherlands: E.J. Brill.
- Kimura, T., Ohta, T., Watanabe, K., Yoshimura, H., & Yamamoto, I. (1998). Anandamide, an endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligand, also interacts with 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) receptor. *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, 21(3), 224-226.
- Klein, T.W., Friedman, H., & Specter, S. (1998). Marijuana, immunity and infection. *Journal of Neuroimmunology*, 83(1-2), 102-115.
- Ko, M.C., & Woods, J. H. (1999). Local administration of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol attenuates capsaicin-induced thermal nociception in rhesus monkeys: A peripheral cannabinoid action. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin)*, 143(3), 322-326.
- Kumar, A.M., Haney, M., Becker, T., Thompson, M.L., Kream, R.M., & Miczek, K. (1990). Effect of early exposure to delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol on the levels of opioid peptides, gonadotropin-releasing hormone and substance P in the adult male rat brain. *Brain Research*, 525(1), 78-83.
- Larson, E.B. (1990). N-of-1 clinical trials. A technique for improving medical therapeutics. *West Journal of Medicine*, 152(1), 52-56.
- Lewis, B., Menage, V.L., Pellat, C.H., & Schacht, J. (1971). *The encyclopedia of Islam*. Leiden, The Netherlands: E.J. Brill.
- Li, J., Daughters, R.S., Bullis, C., Bengiamin, R., Stucky, M.W., Brennan, J., & Simone, D.A. (1999). The cannabinoid receptor agonist WIN 55,212-2 mesylate blocks the development of hyperalgesia produced by capsaicin in rats. *Pain*, 81(1-2), 25-33.
- Lichtman, A.H., Cook, S.A., & Martin, B.R. (1996). Investigation of brain sites mediating cannabinoid-induced antinociception in rats: Evidence supporting periaqueductal gray involvement. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 276(2), 585-593.
- Lilly (1898). *Lilly's Handbook of Pharmacy and Therapeutics*. Indianapolis: Lilly and Company.
- Linné, C.A. (1772). *Materia medica per regna tria naturae*. Lipsiae et Erlangae: Wolfgang Waltherum.
- Lozano Camara, I. (1990). *Tres tratados arábes sobre el Cannabis indica: Textos para la historia del hachis en las sociedades islámicas, S. XIII-XVI*. Madrid: Agencia Española de Cooperación Internacional Instituto de Cooperación con el Mundo Árabe.
- Mackenzie, S. (1887). Remarks on the value of Indian hemp in the treatment of a certain type of headache. *British Medical Journal*, 1, 97-98.
- Mackenzie, S. (1894). Therapeutique médicale: De la valeur therapeutique speciale du chanvre indien dans certains Ctats morbides. *Semaine Médicale*, 14, 399-400.
- Mailleux, P., & Vanderhaeghen, J.J. (1992). Localization of cannabinoid receptor in the human developing and adult basal ganglia. Higher levels in the striatonigral neurons. *Neuroscience Letters*, 148(1-2), 173-176.
- Mailleux, P., & Vanderhaeghen, J.J. (1994). Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol regulates substance P and enkephalin mRNAs levels in the caudate-putamen. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 267(1), R1-3.
- Malfait, A.M., Gallily, R., Sumariwalla, P.F., Malik, A.S., Andrekos, E., Mechoulam, R., & Feldmann, M. (2000). The nonpsychoactive cannabis constituent cannabidiol is an oral anti-arthritic therapeutic in murine collagen-induced arthritis. *Proceedings, National Academy of Science (USA)*, 97(17), 9561-9566.
- Maneuf, Y.P., Nash, J.E., Crossman, A.R., & Brotchie, J.M. (1996). Activation of the cannabinoid receptor by delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol reduces gamma-aminobutyric acid uptake in the globus pallidus. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 308(2), 161-164.
- Mannische, L. (1989). *An ancient Egyptian herbal*. Austin: University of Texas.
- Manzanares, J., Corchero, J., Romero, J., Fernandez-Ruiz, J.J., Ramos, J.A., & Fuentes, J.A. (1998). Chronic administration of cannabinoids regulates proenkephalin mRNA levels in selected regions of the rat brain. *Bruin Research, Molecular Brain Research*, 55(1), 126-132.
- Manzanares, J., Corchero, J., Romero, J., Fernandez-Ruiz, J.J., Ramos, J.A., & Fuentes, J.A. (1999). Pharmacological and biochemical interactions between opioids and cannabinoids. *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*, 20(7), 287-294.
- Marcandier, M. (1758). *Traité du chanvre*. Paris: Chez Nyon.
- Marcandier, M. (1764). *Treatise on hemp*. London: T. Becket and P. A. de Hondt.
- Margolis, J.S., & Clorfene, R. (1969). *A child's garden of grass (The official handbook for marijuana users)*. North Hollywood, CA: Contact Books.
- Martin, M.A. (1975). Ethnobotanical aspects of cannabis in Southeast Asia. In V. Rubin (Ed.), *Cannabis and Culture* (pp. 63-75). The Hague: Mouton Publishers.
- Martin, S., Padilla, E., Ocete, M.A., Galvez, J., Jimenez, J., & Zarzuelo, A. (1993). Anti-inflammatory activity of the essential oil of *Bupleurum frutescens*. *Planta Medica*, 59(6), 533-536.
- Marx, J. (1990). Marijuana receptor gene cloned. *Science*, 249(4969), 624-626.
- Matsuda, L.A., Lolait, S.J., Brownstein, M.J., Young, A.C., & Bonner, T.I. (1990). Structure of a cannabinoid receptor and functional expression of the cloned cDNA. *Nature*, 346(6284), 561-564.
- Mattes, R.D., Engelman, K., Shaw, L.M., & Elshohly, M.A. (1994). Cannabinoids and appetite stimulation. *Pharmacology, Biochemistry, and Behavior*, 49(1), 187-195.
- Mattison, J.B. (1891). *Cannabis indica* as an anodyne and hypnotic. *St. Louis Medical and Surgical Journal*, 61, 265-271.

- Maurer, M., Henn, V., Dittrich, A., & Hofmann, A. (1990). Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol shows antispastic and analgesic effects in a single case double-blind trial. *European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*, *240*(1), 1-4.
- McPartland, J. (2001). Cannabis and eicosanoids: A review of molecular pharmacology. *Journal of Cannabis Therapeutics*, *1*(1), 71-83
- McPartland, J.M. (in press). Contaminants and adulterants in herbal cannabis. In F. Grotenhermen & E.B. Russo (Eds.), *Cannabis and cannabinoids: Pharmacology, toxicology, & therapeutic potential*. Binghamton, NY Haworth Press.
- McPartland, J.M., & Mediavilla, V. (in press). Non-cannabinoids in cannabis. In F. Grotenhermen & E. B. Russo (Eds.), *Cannabis and cannabinoids*. Binghamton, NY Haworth Press.
- McPartland, J.M., & Pruitt, P.L. (1997). Medical marijuana and its use by the immunocompromised. *Alternative Therapies in Health and Medicine*, *3*(3), 39-45.
- McPartland, J.M., & Pruitt, P.L. (1999). Side effects of pharmaceuticals not elicited by comparable herbal medicines: The case of tetrahydrocannabinol and marijuana. *Alternative Therapies in Health and Medicine*, *5*(4), 57-62.
- Mechoulam, R., & Ben-Shabat, S. (1999). From gan-zi-gun-nu to anandamide and 2-arachidonoylglycerol: The ongoing story of cannabis. *Natural Product Reports*, *16*(2), 131-143.
- Mechoulam, R., & Feigenbaum, J.J. (1987). Toward cannabinoid drugs. In G. Ellis & G. West (Eds.), *Progress in medicinal chemistry* (pp. 159-207). Amsterdam: Elsevier Science.
- Mechoulam, R., Frider, E., & Di Marzo, V. (1998). Endocannabinoids. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, *359*, 1-18.
- Meng, I.D., Manning, B.H., Martin, W.J., & Fields, H.L. (1998). An analgesia circuit activated by cannabinoids. *Nature*, *395*(6700), 381-383.
- Michel, L. (1880). Propriétés médicinales de l'Indian hemp ou du *Cannabis indica*. *Montpellier Medical*, *45*, 103-116.
- Mikuriya, T.H. (1997). Chronic migraine headache: Five cases successfully treated with marinol and/or illicit cannabis. <http://206.61.184.43/schaffer/hemp/migrn1.htm>
- Mikuriya, T.H., & Aldrich, M.R. (1988). Cannabis 1988. Old drug, new dangers. The potency question. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, *20*(1), 47-55.
- Milstein, S.L., MacCannell, K., Karr, G., & Clark, S. (1975). Marijuana-produced changes in pain tolerance. Experienced and non-experienced subjects. *International Pharmacopsychiatry*, *10*(3), 177-182.
- Miiller-Vahl, K.R., Kolbe, H., Schneider, U., & Emrich, H.M. (1998). Cannabinoids: Possible role in patho-physiology and therapy of Gilles de la Tourette syndrome. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, *98*(6), 502-506.
- Musonda, C.A., & Chipman, J.K. (1998). Quercetin inhibits hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)-induced NF-kappaB DNA binding activity and DNA damage in HepG2 cells. *Carcinogenesis*, *19*(9), 1583-1589.
- Nalin, D.R., Levine, M.M., Rhead, J., Bergquist, E., Rennels, M., Hughes, T., O'Donnel, S., & Homick, R.B. (1978). Cannabis, hypochlorhydria, & cholera. *Lancet*, *2*(8095), 859-862.
- New York (City). Mayor's Committee on Marihuana, Wallace, G. B., & Cunningham, E. V. (1973). *The marihuana problem in the city of New York*. Metuchen, NJ: Scarecrow Reprint Corp.
- Nicolodi, M. (1998). Painful and non-painful effects of low doses of morphine in migraine sufferers partly depend on excitatory amino acids and gamma-aminobutyric acid. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacology Research*, *18*(2), 79-85.
- Nicolodi, M., Del Bianco, P.L., & Sicuteri, F. (1997). Modulation of excitatory amino acids pathway: A possible therapeutic approach to chronic daily headache associated with analgesic drug abuse. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacology Research*, *17*(2-3), 97-100.
- Nicolodi, M., & Sicuteri, F. (1995). Exploration of NMDA receptors in migraine: Therapeutic and theoretic implications. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacology Research*, *15*(5-6), 181-189.
- Nicolodi, M., & Sicuteri, F. (1998). Negative modulators [sic] of excitatory amino acids in episodic and chronic migraine: Preventing and reverting chronic migraine. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacology Research*, *18*(2), 93-100.
- Nicolodi, M., Volpe, A.R., & Sicuteri, F. (1998). Fibromyalgia and headache. Failure of serotonergic analgesia and N-methyl-D-aspartate-mediated neuronal plasticity: Their common clues. *Cephalalgia*, *18*(Suppl. 21), 41-44.
- Notcutt, W., Price, M., & Chapman, G. (1997). Clinical experience with nabilone for chronic pain. *Pharmaceutical Sciences*, *3*, 551-555.
- Noyes, R., Jr., & Baram, D.A. (1974). Cannabis analgesia. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, *15*(6), 531-535.
- Noyes, R., Jr., Brunk, S.F., Avery, D.A.H., & Canter, A.C. (1975). The analgesic properties of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol and codeine. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, *18*(1), 84-9.
- Noyes, R., Jr., Brunk, S.F., Baram, D.A., & Canter, A. (1975). Analgesic effect of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, *15*(2-3), 13943.
- Nunn, J. F. (1996). *Ancient Egyptian medicine*. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press.
- O'Shaughnessy, W.B. (1838-1840). On the preparations of the Indian hemp, or gunjah (*Cannabis indica*); Their effects on the animal system in health, and their utility in the treatment of tetanus and other convulsive diseases. *Transactions of the Medical and Physical Society of Bengal*, 71-102, 421-461.
- Osler, W., & McCrae, T. (1915). *The principles and practice of medicine*. New York: Appleton and Company.
- Parkinson, J., & Cotes, T. (1640). *Theatrum botanicum: The theater of plants*. London: Tho. Cotes.
- Partridge, W.L. (1975). Cannabis and cultural groups in a Colombian *municipio*. In V. Rubin (Ed.), *Cannabis and culture* (pp. 147-172). The Hague: Mouton Publishers.

- Perez-Reyes, M., Burstein, S.H., White, W.R., McDonald, S. A., & Hicks, R.E. (1991). Antagonism of marihuana effects by indomethacin in humans. *Life Sciences*, 48(6), 507-5 1.5.
- Peroutka, S.J. (1990a). Developments in 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor pharmacology in migraine. *Neurologic Clinics*, 8(4), 829-839.
- Peroutka, S.J. (1990b). The pharmacology of current anti-migraine drugs. *Headache*, 30(1 Suppl.), 5-1 1; discussion, 24-28.
- Peroutka, S.J. (1997). Dopamine and migraine. *Neurology*, 49(3), 650-656.
- Pertwee, R.G. (1997). Cannabis and cannabinoids: Pharmacology and rationale for clinical use. *Pharmaceutical Science*, 3, 539-545.
- Pliny (1951). *Pliny: Natural history*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University.
- Randall, R.C. (1991). *Muscle spasm, pain & marijuana therapy*. Washington, D.C.: Galen Press.
- Raskin, N.H. (1988). *Headache* (2nd ed.). New York: Churchill Livingstone.
- Remington, J.P., Wood, H.C., Sadtler, S.P., LaWall, C.H., Kraemer, H., & Anderson, J.F. (1918). *The dispensatory of the United States of America* (20th ed.). Philadelphia: J. B. Lippincott.
- Rennie, S.J. (1886). On the therapeutic value of *tinctura Cannabis indica* in the treatment of dysentery, more particularly in its sub-acute and chronic forms. *Indian Medical Gazette*, 21, 353-354.
- Reynolds, J.R. (1868). On some of the therapeutical uses of Indian hemp. *Archives of Medicine*, 2, 154-160.
- Richardson, J.D., Aanonsen, L., & Hargreaves, K.M. (1998a). Antihyperalgesic effects of spinal cannabinoids. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 345(2), 145-153.
- Richardson, J.D., Aanonsen, L., & Hargreaves, K.M. (1998b). Hypoactivity of the spinal cannabinoid system results in NMDA-dependent hyperalgesia. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 18(1), 451-457.
- Richardson, J.D., Kilo, S., & Hargreaves, K.M. (1998). Cannabinoids reduce hyperalgesia and inflammation via interaction with peripheral CB<sub>1</sub> receptors. *Pain*, 75(1), 111-119.
- Rosenthal, E., Gieringer, D., & Mikuriya, T. (1997). *Marijuana medical handbook: A guide to therapeutic use*. Oakland, CA: Quick American Archives.
- Rubin, V. (1976). Cross-cultural perspectives on therapeutic uses of cannabis. In S. Cohen & R. Stillman (Eds.), *The therapeutic potential of marihuana* (pp. 1-17). New York: Plenum Medical.
- Rubin, V.D., & Comitas, L. (1975). *Ganja in Jamaica: A medical anthropological study of chronic marihuana use*. The Hague: Mouton.
- Rumpf, G.E., & Beekman, E.M. (1981). *The poison tree: Selected writings of Rumphius on the natural history of the Indies*. Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press.
- Russo, E.B. (1998). Cannabis for migraine treatment: The once and future prescription? An historical and scientific review. *Pain*, 76,(1-2), 3-8.
- Russo, E.B., Macarah, C.M., Todd, C.L., Medora, R.S., & Parker, K.K. (2000). Pharmacology of the essential oil of hemp at 5HT<sub>1A</sub> and 5HT<sub>2a</sub> receptors. Poster, 41st Annual Meeting of the American Society of Pharmacognosy, Seattle, WA. *Journal of Natural Products*.
- Russo, E.B. (2000). *Handbook of psychotropic herbs: A scientific analysis of herbal remedies for psychiatric conditions*. Binghamton, NY: Haworth Press.
- Russo, E.B. (2001). Hemp for headache: An in-depth historical and scientific review of cannabis in migraine treatment. *Journal of Cannabis Therapeutics*, 1(2), 21-92.
- Russo, E.B. (in press). Migraine: Indications for cannabis and THC. In F. Grotenhermen & E. B. Russo, E. B. *Cannabis and cannabinoids*. Binghamton, NY: Haworth Press.
- Schaefer, C.F., Brackett, D.J., Gunn, C.G., & Dubowski, K.M. (1979). Decreased platelet aggregation following marihuana smoking in man. *Journal, Oklahoma State Medical Association*, 72(12), 435-436.
- See, M.G. (1890). Usages du *Cannabis indica* dans le traitement des névroses et dyspepsies gastriques. *Bulletin de l'Academie Nationale de Medecine*, 3, 158-193.
- Shen, M., Piser, T.M., Seybold, V.S., & Thayer, S.A. (1996). Cannabinoid receptor agonists inhibit glutamatergic synaptic transmission in rat hippocampal cultures. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 16(14), 4322-4334.
- Shen, M., & Thayer, S.A. (1999). Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol acts as a partial agonist to modulate glutamatergic synaptic transmission between rat hippocampal neurons in culture. *Molecular Pharmacology*, 55(1), 8-13.
- Shoemaker, J.V. (1899). The therapeutic value of *Cannabis indica*. *Texas Medical News*, 8(10), 477-488.
- Silver, A. (1870). On the value of Indian hemp in menorrhagia and dysmenorrhoea. *Medical Times and Gazette*, 2, 59-61.
- Snyder, S.H. (1971). *Uses of marijuana*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Sofia, R.D., Nalepa, S.D., Harakal, J.J., & Vassar, H.B. (1973). Anti-edema and analgesic properties of delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 186(3), 646-655.
- Spadone, C. (1991). Neurophysiologie du cannabis [Neurophysiology of cannabis]. *Encephale*, 17(1), 17-22.
- Stefanis, C.N., Dornbush, R.L., & Fink, M. (1977). *Hashish: Studies of long-term use*. New York: Raven Press.
- Strangman, N.M., & Walker, J.M. (1999). Cannabinoid WIN 55,212-2 inhibits the activity-dependent facilitation of spinal nociceptive responses. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 82(1), 472-477.
- Suckling, C. (1891). On the therapeutic value of Indian hemp. *British Medical Journal*, 2, 12.
- Tambe, Y., Tsujiuchi, H., Honda, G., Ikeshiro, Y., & Tanaka, S. (1996). Gastric cytoprotection of the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory sesquiterpene, beta-caryophyllene. *Planta Medica*, 62(5), 469-470.
- Tashkin, D.P. (2001, in press). Respiratory risks from marijuana smoking. In F. Grotenhermen & E. B. Russo (Eds.), *Cannabis and cannabinoids: Pharmacology, toxicology and therapeutic potential*. Binghamton, NY: Haworth Press.

- Tashkin, D.P., Reiss, S., Shapiro, B.J., Calvarese, B., Olsen, J.L., & Lodge, J.W. (1977). Bronchial effects of aerosolized delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol in healthy and asthmatic subjects. *American Review of Respiratory Disease*, 115(1), 57-65.
- Tashkin, D.P., Simmons, M.S., Sherrill, D.L., & Coulson, A.H. (1997). Heavy habitual marijuana smoking does not cause an accelerated decline in FEV1 with age. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, 155(1), 141-148.
- Thompson, R.C. (1924). *The Assyrian herbal*. London: Luzac and Co.
- Thompson, R.C. (1949). *A dictionary of Assyrian botany*. London: British Academy.
- Turner, C.E., & Elsohly, M.A. (1981). Biological activity of cannabichromene, its homologs and isomers. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 21, 283S-291S.
- Volfe, Z., Dvilansky, A., & Nathan, I. (1985). Cannabinoids block release of serotonin from platelets induced by plasma from migraine patients. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacology Research*, 5(4), 243-246.
- Walker, J.M., Hohmann, A.G., Martin, W.J., Strangman, N.M., Huang, S.M., & Tsou, K. (1999). The neurobiology of cannabinoid analgesia. *Life Sciences*, 65(6-7), 665-673.
- Walker, J.M., Huang, S.M., Strangman, N.M., Tsou, K., & Sañudo-Peña, M.C. (1999). Pain modulation by the release of the endogenous cannabinoid anandamide. *Proceedings, National Academy of Science (USA)*, 96(21), 12198-12203.
- Waring, E. (1874). *Practical Therapeutics*. Philadelphia: Lindsay and Blackiston.
- Welch, S.P., & Eads, M. (1999). Synergistic interactions of endogenous opioids and cannabinoid systems. *Brain Research*, 848(1-2), 183-190.
- Wiegant, V.M., Sweep, C.G., & Nir, I. (1987). Effect of acute administration of delta 1-tetrahydrocannabinol on beta-endorphin levels in plasma and brain tissue of the rat. *Experientia*, 43(4), 413-415.
- Wirtshafter, D. (1997). Nutritional value of hemp seed and hemp seed oil. In M. L. Mathre (Ed.), *Cannabis in medical practice* (pp. 181-191). Jefferson, NC: McFarland and Company.
- Zias, J., Stark, H., Sellgman, J., Levy, R., Werker, E., Breuer, A., & Mechoulam, R. (1993). Early medical use of cannabis. *Nature*, 363(6426), 215.
- Zimmer, L.E., & Morgan, J.P. (1997). *Marijuana myths, marijuana facts: A review of the scientific evidence*. New York: Lindesmith Center.